Clinical Significance of Non-Mass-Like Enhancement of Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Breast Cancer Considering Breast-Conserving Surgery
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Purpose: The purpose of this study was to investigate the significance of non-mass enhancement (NME) findings on preoperative breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) when invasive breast cancer patients with single lesions underwent breast-conserving surgery (BCS).

Methods: We reviewed the preoperative MRI findings of 252 patients who underwent BCS from January 2014 to September 2016. Based on the MRI findings, we divided the patients into two groups, those who did and did not have NME, and we retrospectively analyzed the clinical outcomes of the two groups.

Results: The NME group had 57 patients, and the no-NME group had 195 patients. The incidence of in situ lesions was higher in the NME group than in the no-NME group (p < 0.001). Additionally, the positive resection margin rate on frozen biopsy was higher in the NME group than in the no-NME group (p = 0.002).

Conclusion: When preoperative MRI had NME findings, in situ lesions were more likely to accompany invasive breast cancer lesions, and the positive resection margin rate for frozen biopsy during BCS was high. Therefore, in these cases, the lesion should be excised more widely when BCS is performed, or frozen biopsy for resection margin during BCS should be performed if possible.
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INTRODUCTION

The development of treatment modalities for breast cancer has greatly increased patients’ survival rates. As a result, patients’ quality of life has become more important than ever. Particularly regarding aesthetics, oncoplastic surgeries are being developed to preserve the breast and achieve superior cosmetic results [1]. However, regardless of the procedure, the most crucial aspect of breast-conserving surgery (BCS) is ensuring adequate safety margins. Therefore, preoperative radiologic findings play an essential role in planning these surgeries. In particular, breast cancer magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings play a crucial role in determining the scope and method of surgeries. Recent studies have demonstrated MRI specificity of 72% and sensitivity of 90% in breast cancer diagnosis [2]. In contrast, the sensitivity of MRI in ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) patients varied from 77% to 96% [3,4]. These results are clinically relevant for planning BCS based on MRI findings, especially when MRI shows non-mass enhancement (NME). According to the MR Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) classification system of the American College of Radiology, published in July 2003 [5] and recently updated in 2010, NME includes regional, segmental, ductal, and linear patterns. It has been reported that 57% of nonpalpable breast cancers show NME findings on MRI [6]. Although NME patterns may appear in diffuse benign lesions or inflammatory diseases [7], in actual operations, NME lesions are considered cancers and surgeons attempt to eliminate them entirely. However, localizing and resecting these lesions are often more difficult than expected. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the clinical significance of NME findings on MRI in patients considering BCS.

METHODS

We performed this study with the approval of our Institutional Re-
The informed consent was waived. In this study, only patients diagnosed with a single invasive lesion in pathologic results were included. In addition, to reduce any surgery-related bias, patients who underwent surgery by one experienced breast surgeon at our center from January 2014 to September 2016 were included. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) multiple invasive breast cancers on pathologic reports (even in the same quadrant or daughter nodule); (2) multifocal/multicentric breast cancer on image study (regardless of DCIS or invasive lesion); these lesions were excluded for a clear analysis of resection margins and NME; (3) bilateral breast cancer (for ease of analysis); (4) mastectomy patients; (5) history of ipsilateral breast operation (excision, excisional biopsy, and vacuum assisted breast biopsy), or (6) history of neoadjuvant chemotherapy. We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of 252 patients who underwent BCS and who had been diagnosed with a single invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) with or without DCIS. We divided the 252 patients into two groups according to their NME findings on MRI: no-NME (a single mass lesion) or NME (a single NME lesion or a single mass lesion with NME) (Figure 1). We reviewed clinical findings, pathologic subtypes (classified as luminal A and B, based on Ki-67 < 14% or ≥ 14%), the presence of DCIS, and extensive intraductal component (EIC) for these patients. In this study, the expression for DCIS was defined as follows: (1) DCIS with invasive lesion: DCIS existing inside or outside the invasive lesion; (2) extra-tumoral DCIS: DCIS existing outside the invasive lesion, and (3) EIC: extra-tumoral DCIS occupying ≥ 25% of the breast cancer lesion.

At our center, all patients underwent frozen biopsy for resection margin at the time of surgery. We also investigated the presence of cancer cells in frozen biopsy and final pathologic results for resection margin.

MRI protocol of Konkuk Medical Center and image analysis

The MRI examinations were performed using a 3.0-T MRI system (Signa HDxt; General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, USA) with a dedicated 8-channel breast coil. After a transverse localizer image was obtained, sagittal fat-suppressed T2-weighted fast spin-echo images were obtained as well. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI examinations included one pre-contrast and five post-contrast sagittal image acquisitions using a fat-suppressed T1-weighted 3D fast-spoiled gradient-recalled echo sequence with parallel volume imaging. We also obtained delayed contrast-enhanced 3D fast-spoiled gradient-echo images with fat suppression in the axial plane. Gadoterate meglumine (Dotarem; Guerbet, Marans, France) was injected into the antecubital vein using an automated injector (Spectris Solaris; Medrad Europe, Maastricht, the Netherlands) at a dose of 0.1 mmol per kilogram of body weight and a rate of 3 mL/sec followed by a 20-mL saline flush. Two breast imaging radiologists with seven and 11 years of experience interpreted the MRIs using the morphological MRI criteria described in the BI-RADS classification system.

Statistical analysis

We performed our statistical analyses using SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Data are expressed either as frequencies or proportions. We tested for differences between groups using a chi-square test for qualitative variables and considered a p-value of < 0.05 to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient demographic data and pathologic report analysis results are
The NME group had 57 patients, and the non-NME group had 195; there were no statistically significant differences in age or breast cancer site between the two groups. Tumors measured on preoperative MRI were larger in the NME group \((p = 0.001)\). However, there was no difference in tumor size between the two groups measured from actual pathology reports: 19.5 ± 8.6 mm in the non-NME group and 20.6 ± 12.3 mm in the NME group \((p = 0.527)\). Axillary node metastasis was also significantly greater in the NME group \((38.6\% \text{ vs. } 22.6\%; p = 0.025)\). Histologic grade and hormone receptor status did not differ between the two groups when we analyzed the pathological results. There were more human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive patients in the NME group \((29.8\% \text{ vs. } 16.4\%; p = 0.047)\). In the molecular subtype analysis, HER2 type was found to be more abundant in the NME group \((p = 0.008)\). The NME group contained more in situ lesions \((94.7\% \text{ vs. } 72.8\%; p < 0.001)\). The proportion of extra-tumoral DCIS recorded on the pathology reports was significantly higher in the NME group \((87.7\% \text{ vs. } 42.6\%; p < 0.001)\). In addition, the presence of EIC was significantly higher in the NME group than in the no-NME group \((56.1\% \text{ vs. } 12.3\%, \text{ respectively}; p < 0.001)\). At our center, all patients underwent frozen biopsy for resection margin at the time of surgery. The positive resection margin rate of frozen biopsy was higher in the NME group \((36.8\% \text{ vs. } 15.5\%, \text{ respectively}; p = 0.002)\). The positive resection margin rates on permanent biopsy were 6.2\% in the no-NME group and 8.8\% in the NME group, but the difference was not significant \((p = 0.694)\).

**DISCUSSION**

The efficacy of preoperative MRI for breast cancer patients has been verified by several studies, and MRI has become indispensable for planning surgery. In particular, for nonpalpable lesions, MRI provides a more accurate range of lesion size than ultrasound. According to a recent meta-analysis, preoperative MRI is associated with an increased odds of ipsilateral or contralateral prophylactic mastectomy as the surgical treatment for newly diagnosed breast cancer patients [8]. The reason for this result may be that MRI reveals lesions that are not usually found in breast ultrasound and mammography. Nonpalpable lesions or lesions not observed on mammography and ultrasonography were summarized in Table 1. The NME group had 57 patients, and the no-NME group had 195; there were no statistically significant differences in age or breast cancer site between the two groups. Tumors measured on preoperative MRI were larger in the NME group \((p = 0.001)\). However, there was no difference in tumor size between the two groups measured from actual pathology reports: 19.5 ± 8.6 mm in the non-NME group and 20.6 ± 12.3 mm in the NME group \((p = 0.527)\). Axillary node metastasis was also significantly greater in the NME group \((38.6\% \text{ vs. } 22.6\%; p = 0.025)\). Histologic grade and hormone receptor status did not differ between the two groups when we analyzed the pathological results. There were more human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive patients in the NME group \((29.8\% \text{ vs. } 16.4\%; p = 0.047)\). In the molecular subtype analysis, HER2 type was found to be more abundant in the NME group \((p = 0.008)\). The NME group contained more in situ lesions \((94.7\% \text{ vs. } 72.8\%; p < 0.001)\). The proportion of extra-tumoral DCIS recorded on the pathology reports was significantly higher in the NME group \((87.7\% \text{ vs. } 42.6\%; p < 0.001)\). In addition, the presence of EIC was significantly higher in the NME group than in the no-NME group \((56.1\% \text{ vs. } 12.3\%, \text{ respectively}; p < 0.001)\). At our center, all patients underwent frozen biopsy for resection margin at the time of surgery. The positive resection margin rate of frozen biopsy was higher in the NME group \((36.8\% \text{ vs. } 15.5\%, \text{ respectively}; p = 0.002)\). The positive resection margin rates on permanent biopsy were 6.2\% in the no-NME group and 8.8\% in the NME group, but the difference was not significant \((p = 0.694)\).
NME of Preoperative MRI in Breast-Conserving Surgery

Phy are often observed as NME findings on MRI [5]. BI-RADS defines NME as non-mass enhancement of an area that may extend over small or large regions with internal enhancement characteristics that can be distinguished from the normal surrounding breast parenchyma. Several studies have reported that NME findings are often present in cases of histologically diagnosed DCIS [9,10]. Miyashita et al. [11] investigated MRI findings and final pathology results in patients diagnosed with DCIS through preoperative biopsy, and approximately 80% of the patients had NME findings; in 18% of those patients, final biopsy showed invasive lesions.

We conducted this study to determine whether the surgery range should be determined if there is NME on preoperative MRI from the viewpoint of the surgeon who performs the actual operation and to ascertain whether the cancer-positive rate in the actual resection margin is higher than when there are no NME findings. Kim et al. [12] studied the relationship between contrast enhancement lesions on dynamic contrast enhanced-MRI and the rates of re-excision and mastectomy conversion based on positive resection margins at the time of BCS. They reported that for 13.4% of patients, initially planned BCS was converted to mastectomy after MRI findings were confirmed, and re-excision was performed in 8.2% of patients after BCS. In addition, 22.2% of the patients with NME findings underwent re-excision, and the reoperation rate was high. In the present study, we only reviewed the postoperative pathology reports of MRI findings for patients with single-lesion IDCs. We found that when the NME findings were accompanied by a single mass lesion, or when there was only an NME lesion without a mass-like lesion, the postoperative positive resection margin rate at the time of surgery was more than twice as high as that of cases without NME findings (NME, 36.8% vs. no-NME, 15.4%). However, there was no difference in the re-excision rate between the two groups because of the additional marginal resection through frozen biopsy during surgery. Another interesting finding of this study was that HER2 positivity was high when NME findings were present. To date, few studies have investigated the correlation between breast cancer imaging phenotype and molecular subtype. However, recent meta-analyses of HER2 overexpression and breast cancer imaging features have shown that irregular margins tended toward greater changes in HER2 overexpression (prevalence odds ratio, 1.56; 95% confidence interval, 0.99–2.47; p = 0.06; six studies) [13]. Other researchers have reported that the HER2-positive subtype often shows microcalcifications [14,15]. However, no studies have examined the direct association of the HER2 subtype with NME, and more studies are required to determine the association of MRI findings with molecular subtypes. No convincing evidence has been found that preoperative MRI improves surgical outcomes, such as the rate of positive margins, re-excision, or breast conservation, in the average patient with breast cancer [16]. In conclusion, in the present study, we found that in the presence of NME findings on MRI, the rates of DCIS accompanied by invasive lesions and positive resection margins were high. Therefore, in these cases, to reduce re-excision rates, lesions should be resected more widely when BCS is performed, or frozen biopsy for resection margin during BCS should be performed if possible. In addition, it appears that the HER2 type (estrogen receptor-negative, progesterone receptor-negative) is more likely to accompany NME findings than other subtypes; therefore, breast MRI will be more helpful in determining the extent of resection.
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